	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/761,780	HASHIMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lynda Jasmin	3627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _Lynda Jasmin_.   (3)_____.

(2) _Crabb, Steven_.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: _07 October 2004_.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
       c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
   If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _1,9 and 18_.

Identification of prior art discussed: _Wolfe et al._.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _Upon discussion of the prior art of record (Wolfe et al.) the Examiner agrees that Wolfe et al does not explicitly disclose the matching of the stores as disclosed in claim 18. We agree that further consideration and/or searches would be required based upon potential new prior art found (see Geary 6,070,160 and chevrolet.com)_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required